1	THOMAS B. GORRILL, ESQ. (Cal. Bar No. 1029	979)						
2	LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS B. GORRILL 401 West "A" Street, Suite 1770							
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 237-8889							
4	Fax: (619) 237-0650 E-mail: tgorrill@gorillalaw.com							
5	Attorney for Defendants							
6								
7								
8	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT						
9	Southern Distri	ct of California						
10								
11	In re:	BK CASE NO. 08-06043-M7						
12	LAURA CATLIN,	BK ADV. NO. 08-90580-M7						
13	Debtor(s).							
14	LAURA CATLIN,	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO						
15	Plaintiff	ADVERSARY COMPLAINT						
16	V.							
17	SUNLAN-062804 LLC, KENNETH MIELE,							
18	LAW OFFICES OF KENOSIAN & MIELE LLP, and DOES 1 - 10, Inclusive,							
19	Defendants.							
20								
21	Come now the named defendants in this case	se, SUNLAN-062804 LLC, Kenneth Miele, and						
22	the Law Offices of Kenosian & Miele LLP, and ans	swer or otherwise respond to the complaint filed by						
23	Plaintiff, Laura Catlin, in this adversary proceeding	:						
24	1. No response is required of Defendants to Paragraph 1 of the Plaintiff's complaint.							
25	<u>JURISDICTION</u>							
26	2. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Plaintiff's complaint, because there is							
27	no Section 1334 to Title 11 of the United States Co	ode.						
28	////							

- 3. In response to Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's complaint, Defendants admit the Court has subject matter jurisdiction.
  - 4. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Plaintiff's complaint.
- 5. In response to Paragraph 5 of the Plaintiff's complaint, the Defendants deny this is a core proceeding, but consent to the Court entering a final order.
  - 6. Defendants admit the allegations as to venue.

### **PARTIES**

- 7. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief as to Plaintiff's residence and, therefore, deny the allegations in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- 8. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief as to Plaintiff's social security number and, therefore, deny the allegations in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's complaint.
  - 9. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Plaintiff's complaint.
- 10. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Plaintiff's complaint. SUNLAN's correct "legal" address is available online and is located on East Santa Cruz Avenue in the city of Orange, state of California.
  - 11. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 11of the Plaintiff's complaint.
  - 12. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Plaintiff's complaint.
  - 13. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Plaintiff's complaint.
  - 14. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Plaintiff's complaint.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief as to when Plaintiff retained the Doan Firm as alleged in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's complaint and, therefore, deny the allegations.
  - 16. In response to Paragraph 16, Defendants admit receipt of the November 5, 2007 letter.
- 17. Defendants admit that attorney Arash Khakshooy phoned the Doan Law Firm to discuss the lawsuit, but unfortunately no attorney at the Doan Firm would take the call. A secretary at their office would only confirm they had been retained by Plaintiff and also said it could be months before the bankruptcy filing.

3 ////

- 18. In response to Paragraph 18, Defendants deny serving a "notice of entry of default" on the Doan Law Firm on November 29, 2007. Attachment "B" to the Plaintiff's complaint is a Request for Entry of Default and Clerk's Judgment. A default judgment in the sum of \$13,823.29 was entered against Plaintiff in the Superior Court for San Diego County on December 28, 2007. On June 4, 2008 Defendants obtained a Writ of Execution. A true and correct copy of the Writ of Execution is attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit "1."** Levy instructions were sent to the San Diego County Sheriff's Office with the Writ of Execution on June 18, 2008. A true and correct copy of the Writ of Execution is attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit "2."**
- 19. Defendants admit Plaintiff filed a Chapter 7 petition on June 30, 2008 well after the levy to Plaintiffs' assets had already being processed by the San Diego Sheriff's Office.
- 20. Defendants admit the Bankruptcy Notice Center mailed notice of the Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition to creditors on July 4, 2008. However, Defendant SUNLAN was not properly served with notice of the bankruptcy case at its true and correct address, apparently because the Plaintiff failed to properly schedule SUNLAN at their proper, legal address in Orange, California.
- 21. Defendant SUNLAN denies the allegations in Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's complaint.

  Regardless, on July 11, 2008 as soon as they received the notice of the bankruptcy Mr. Meile's firm sent instructions to the San Diego County Sheriff's Office to cease any actions to levy upon the judgment held by SUNLAN against the Plaintiff and to release all funds. A true and correct copy of the July 11<sup>th</sup> release instructions is attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit "3."** The Sheriff's office processed the instructions and issued a Release of Levy on all accounts on July 18, 2008. A true and correct copy of the Release of Levy is attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit "4."** Defendants received no funds from this levy attempt.
- 22. Defendants, and each of them, deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's complaint. Further, Attachment "C" to Plaintiff's complaint is a Notice of Levy mailed by the San Diego County Sheriff's Office, not the Defendants. Plaintiff's allegations are untrue and are not supported by Attachment "C."
- 23. In response to Paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's complaint Defendants lack any personal, first hand information or belief as to the allegations contained therein and, based thereon, deny the

allegations contained therein. If the authenticity of Attachment "D" to Plaintiff's complaint is established, it would appear funds were levied from the Plaintiff's accounts. However, Defendants deny that these levies, if they occurred and netted any money, were the result of ongoing efforts by any of them to execute upon SUNLAN's judgement against the Plaintiff.

- 24. Defendants deny the Doan Firm contacted Defendants by phone on July 18, 2008.
- 25. Defendants admit receipt of the letter from attorney Jeff Larkin, dated July 18, 2008 demanding that "corrective measures" be taken to undo the writ of execution. However, prior to that date the Defendants had already sent cancellation instructions to the San Diego Sheriff that they release all funds levied [see **Exhibit "3"** attached hereto], and the San Diego Sheriff issued a Release of Levy on all of Plaintiffs' accounts as of July 18, 2008 [the same date as Mr. Larkin's letter, see **Exhibit "4"** attached hereto].
- 26. Even assuming the allegations in Paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's complaint are true, and Defendants deny those allegations until Plaintiff's Attachment "F" is authenticated, those overdraft charges were not the result of any action or continuing action by the Defendants. The levy was NOT a violation of the stay when it was sent to the Sheriff's office. Further, Defendants responded promptly upon receipt of the notice of Plaintiff's bankruptcy and sent instructions to the Sheriff's office to stop the levy and release all funds to Plaintiff. Defendants never received any funds from that levy.
- 27. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's complaint. As already stated, upon receipt of the bankruptcy notice, Defendants sent instruction to the San Diego County Sheriff to cease all levies and to release all funds. If there was any delay in Plaintiff getting her funds, it was due to the time-frame within which a bank responds to a levy notice as well as the time it takes for the San Diego Sheriff's Office to process the cancellation instructions of a judgment creditor.
- 28. Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's complaint. Plaintiff is misreading or misrepresenting the meaning of Attachment "G" to Plaintiff's complaint. That Notice of Levy was mailed by the San Diego Sheriff's office, not the Defendants, on August 12, 2008 to Wells Fargo Bank. Upon information and belief Defendants contend that

Sheriff's service of the levy on August 12, 2008 was mistakenly done by the levying officer of the San Diego County Sheriff after the Defendants had issued cancellation instructions for all levies on July 11<sup>th</sup>.

- 29. Defendants deny that on August 18, 2008 attorney Larkin wrote Defendants a letter. Attachment "H" to Plaintiff's complaint is a letter dated August 11, 2008 [not August 18<sup>th</sup>], and the Law Offices of Kenosian & Miele received that letter in the mail on August 15, 2008. The day before this letter arrived, Defendants had already contacted the San Diego Sheriff's office on August 14, 2008 regarding the release of the levy and was informed by a person at the San Diego Sheriff's office named "Tina" that the Defendants' levy release instructions had been received and processed.
- 30. In response to Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's complaint, Defendants admit they issued a levy to the San Diego County Sheriff on the judgment on or about November 25, 2008. However, there was no stay in effect at that time, because on October 9, 2008 Plaintiff's bankruptcy case was closed without entry of a discharge. The discharge had not been entered, apparently because the Plaintiff-debtor had failed to carry out her duty as a Chapter 7 petitioner and timely complete and file a certificate of completion of her financial management course.

On October 16, 2008 Defendants learned Plaintiff's Chapter 7 case had been dismissed on October 9, 2008. A few weeks later Defendants checked the docket, and the case was still dismissed, so on November 12, 2008 new levy instructions were prepared to be sent to the San Diego Sheriff. A true and correct copy of the levy instructions are attached hereto as **Exhibit "5."** Therefore, whatever losses that resulted next were due to the fact Plaintiff did not do what she was supposed to do as a debtor in a Chapter 7 proceeding. Apparently in response to the November 25<sup>th</sup> levy on Plaintiff's bank accounts her counsel filed a motion to reopen the case on November 26, 2008. Note: the Doan Firm never sent a copy of the motion to any of the Defendants. An order reopening the case was entered on December 15, 2008. That order did not provide for reimposition of the automatic stay or reappointment of a trustee. That order was not served upon any of the Defendants.

31. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief as to the truth or accuracy of the allegations in Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's complaint regarding what was taken from Plaintiffs' accounts and, based thereon, deny the allegations contained therein. On December 31, 2008

Defendants issued instructions release all levies of Plaintiff's accounts. A true and copy of the release instructions is attached hereto as **Exhibit "6."** The Sheriff's office processed the Release of Levy, a true and copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit "7."** Despite the instructions to release all levies, Defendants received the sum of \$2,317 on or about January 8, 2009.

- 32. Defendants have never received any overdraft charges or legal processing fees from the levies. Those were fees charged by the bank and/or the S.D. Sheriff as a result of the levy on the account. At the time the levy was issued in state court, Plaintiffs Chapter 7 case was closed. As such, the November 2008 levy did not violate the automatic stay.
- 33. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's complaint and, based thereon, deny the allegations contained therein.
- 34. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's complaint and, based thereon, deny the allegations contained therein.
- 35. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's complaint and, based thereon, deny the allegations contained therein.
  - 36. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's complaint.

### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

- 37. Defendants refer to and incorporate herein each and every response contained in Paragraphs 1 through 36, inclusive, as if set forth herein verbatim.
- 38. Defendants received notices of the Plaintiff's bankruptcy as well as notices that her case had been closed without entry of a discharge.
- 39. Defendants, and each of them, deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 39 of the Plaintiff's complaint.
- 40. Defendants, and each of them, deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 40 of the Plaintiff's complaint.
- 41. Defendants, and each of them, deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 41 of the Plaintiff's complaint.

27 ////

28 ////

Defendants Answer.wpd

1 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** 2 42. As a first and separate affirmative defense Defendants allege Plaintiff has failed to state a 3 claim upon which relief can be granted. 43. As a second and separate affirmative defense Defendants allege Plaintiff is guilty of 4 5 unclean hands in that she failed to timely carry out her duties as a Chapter 7 debtor and, therefore, is 6 barred from seeking equitable relief as prayed for. 7 44. As a third and separate affirmative defense Defendants are informed and believe, and 8 upon such information and belief allege that the purported damages which are the subject of this 9 action were the direct and legal result of the other person(s) conduct. 10 WHEREFORE, Defendants pray judgment as follows: 11 1. That Plaintiff and/or her counsel take nothing by means of Plaintiffs' complaint; 12 2. For an award of sanctions in a sum according to proof against Plaintiff and her counsel for 13 maintaining an action which has no good basis in fact or law to be maintained; 14 3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and 15 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 16 17 18 Dated: January 22, 2009 Thomas B. Gorrill THOMAS B. GORRILL, ESO. 19 Counsel for Defendants 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Defendants Answer.wpd Page 7

28

Defendants Answer.wpd Page 8

			_	EJ-130
KENNETH J. MIELE,		(dress)	FOR COURT U	SE ONLY
LAW OFFICES OF K		_P		
8581 SANTA MONI	•			
LOS ANGELES, CA 90				
TELEPHONE NO: 310-2		o (Optional) 310-289-5177		
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional) ATTORNEY FOR (Name) SUNLA	AN-062804, LLC			
X ATTORNEY FOR X	JUDGMENT CREDITOR AS	SSIGNEE OF RECORD		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIF	ORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIE	:GO		
STREET ADDRESS 325 S M				
MAILING ADDRESS 325 S N				
CITY AND ZIP CODE VISTA BRANCH NAME VISTA	LIMITED CIVIL DISTRICT			
PLAINTIFF: SUNLAN-06280	04, LLC			
DEFENDANT: LAURA E. CAT	TLIN aka LAURA E. SMITH	aka LAURA CATLIN		
	<del></del>			
VVIX.II	(ECUTION (Money Judgme	ent)	CASE NUMBER: 37-2007-00056138-CL-CL-	NC
OF PC		'ersonal Property	57-2007-00000-00-0E-0E	
SA	\LE	Real Property		
1. To the Sheriff or Marshal	of the County of: SAN D	IFGO		
	•	pelow with daily interest and your costs	s as provided by law	
		·		D 715 040
2. To any registered proces 3. (Name): SUNLAN-062804		zed to serve this writ only in accord wit	11 CCP 699.060 01 CC1	P 715.040.
			41.:-	
is the X judgment cre	editor assignee of	record whose address is shown	on this form above the	court's name.
4. Judgment debtor (name	e and last known address):			
LAURA E. CATLIN aka L	AURA E. SMITH aka	9. See next page for informa	tion on real or persona	al property to be
LAURA CATLIN 362 CHINQUAPIN AVE #-	1	delivered under a writ of p	ossession or sold und	
CARLSBAD, CA 92008	•	10. This writ is issued on a sis	ter-state judgment.	
		11. Total judgment	\$	13823.29
		<ol> <li>Costs after judgment (per filed o memo CCP 685.090)</li> </ol>	_	15.00
		13. Subtotal (add 11 and 12)	- S	15.00 13838.29
1	!	14. Credits	\$	0.00
Additional judge	 ment debtors on next page	<ul><li>15. Subtotal (subtract 14 from 13)</li><li>16. Interest after judgment (per filed)</li></ul>	\$ 1 affidavit	13838.29
5. Judgment entered on (da		CCP 685.050) (not on GC 6103.		522.02
<del>-</del>		17. Fee for issuance of writ	\$	15.00
6. Judgment renewed		18. Total (add 15, 16, and 17)	S	14375.31
7. Notice of sale under this		19. Levying officer:	•	
a. X has not been req	uested. ted (see next page).	(a) Add daily interest from date (at the legal rate on 15) (not		
3. Joint debtor informat		GC 6103.5 fees) of 10°		2.70
[SEAL]	on_on nom pago.	(b) Pay directly to court costs in		3.79
		11 and 17 (GC 6103.5, 6851	1.3; CCP	
		699.520(i))	\$	0.00
(a) HOPE		20. The amounts called for in These amounts are stated		
Carrier Carrier		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LIGHT CACH GENTON ON ALL	Goinnellt 20.
Con Control	Issued on (date):	Clerk, by	well cap:	LLR , Deputy
}	NOTICE TO PER	SON SERVED: SEE NEXT PAGE FO	R IMPORTANT INFOR	MATION.
1			/3: 1 / · · · ·	

## LEVY/GARNISHMENT INSTRUCTION SHEET

PLEASE PRINT	
	JUN 1 8 2000
Case Number 37-2007-00056138-CL-CL-NC (SUNLAN VS. LAURA CATLIN) Tod	lay's Date
YOU ARE HEREBY INSTRUCTED TO: (Place "X" in Appropriate Box)	JUN 1 3 2008
LEVY ON BANK ACCOUNT OF JUDGMENT DEBTOR  ENFORCE WRIT OF POSSESSION FOR EVICTION  PLACE KEEPER IN JUDG. DEBTOR'S BUSINESS (Indicate 8 homographic)  TILL TAP  VEHICLE LEVY  OTHER:	urs or open end)
ENTER COMPLETE INSTRUCTIONS BELOW: (Name and address of bank; a business; license number of vehicle and address where vehicle is located - which	
LEVY ALL ACCOUNTS IN THE JUDGMENT DEBTOR'S NAME. INCL	UDING ALL SAVINGS, CHECKING,
DEPOSIT & SAFE DEPOSIT BOX ACCOUNTS HELD AT THE FOLLO	WING INSTITUTION: WASHINGTON
MUTUAL BANK, 2580 EL CAMINO REAL, CARLSBAD, CA 92008	(DEFENDANT'S SOCIAL
SECURITY NUMBER: 553-63-6257)	
FOR WRITS OF POSSESSION ONLY List name of Person to Sign for Possess	sion:
NAME Daytime Phone Num	nber: <u>(310)</u> 289-0500
FOR BANK LEVIES ONLY: Account Number (If known) including but no	ot limited to: NONE AVAILABLE
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THAN the amount shown lesser amount here:	on the writ, plus interest and costs, indicat
\$	
	0 ) 289-0500
Signature of Attorney/Litigant Days KENNETH J. MIELE	time Phone Number
ALL COMMUNICATIONS, REFUNDS DUE, AND COLLECTIONS WILL BE DIFFLICTED BELOW: (Complete Carefully)  ALNOCO CORRESPONDED NAS	RECTED TO THE NAME AND ADDRESS
PMGI, LLC  LISE Hd LZ NN 8002	NOTE: PLEASE DO NOT PHONE OUR OFFICE FOR A STATUS ON YOUR
8581 SANTA MONICA BLVD #17  LOS ANGELES, CA, 90069  OBA E038	CASE. WE WILL NOTIFY YOU BY MAIL ON THE OUTCOME OF THE SERVICE.

FO328-111.1(R10/88)

V EXHIBIT 2

OC-8111

## LEVY/GARNISHMENT INSTRUCTION SHEET

VISTA SHERIFF PLEASE PRINT	
	JUL 1 1 2008
Case Number 37-2007-00056138-CL (SUNLAN VS. CATLIN)	Today's Date
YOU ARE HEREBY INSTRUCTED TO: (Place "X" in Appropri	그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그
LEVY ON BANK ACCOUNT OF JUDGMENT DE ENFORCE WRIT OF POSSESSION FOR EVICTOR PLACE KEEPER IN JUDG. DEBTOR'S BUSINE TILL TAP  VEHICLE LEVY  OTHER: ************************************	EBTOR TION SS (Indicate 8 hours or open end)
ENTER <b>COMPLETE</b> INSTRUCTIONS BELOW: (Name and a business; license number of vehicle and address where vehicle	
RELEASE ALL ACCOUNTS IN THE JUDGMENT DEBTO	DR'S NAME. INCLUDING ALL SAVINGS,
CHECKING, DEPOSIT & SAFE DEPOSIT BOX ACCOU	JNTS HELD AT THE FOLLOWING INSTITUTION:
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, 2580 EL CAMINO RI	EAL CARLSBAD, CA 92008 (DEFENDANT'S
SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: 553-63-6257)	
FOR WRITS OF POSSESSION ONLY List name of Person to	Sign for Possession:
NAME Da	aytime Phone Number: (310) 289-0500
FOR BANK LEVIES ONLY: Account Number (If known)incl	uding but not limited to: NONE AVAILABLE
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THAN the lesser amount here:	ne amount shown on the writ, plus interest and costs, indica
<i></i>	
XX Signature of Attorney/Litigant	(310 ) 289-0500
Signature of Attornéy/Litigant KENNETH J. MIELE	Daytime Phone Number
ALL COMMUNICATIONS, REFUNDS DUE, AND COLLECTIC LISTED BELOW: (Complete Carefully)	ONS WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE NAME AND ADDRESS
PMGI, LLC	NOTE: PLEASE DO NOT PHONE OUR
8581 SANTA MONICA BLVD #17	OFFICE FOR A STATUS ON YOUR CASE. WE WILL NOTIFY YOU BY
LOS ANGELES, CA 90069	MAIL ON THE OUTCOME OF THE SERVICE.

F0328-111.1(R10/88)

EXHIBIT 3

OC-8111

## Case 08-90580-JM Filed 01/22/09 Doc 5 Pg. 12 of 15

TO (Name and Address):	TELEPHONÉ NO.:	LEVYING OFFICER (Name and	Address):
Washington Mutual Bank		County of San Dieg	0
2580 El Camino Real		San Diego County S	Sheriff
Carlsbad, CA 92008		Sheriff's Civil Office	•
		325 South Melrose	Dr Ste 2400
		Vista, CA 92081-66	92
NAME OF COURT, JUDICIAL DISTRICT OR BRANCH COURT, IF AN	NY:	(760) 940-2898	
San Diego County Superior Court		` '	
325 S Melrose Dr			
Vista, CA 92081			
·			
Vista			
PLAINTIFF:		7	
Sunlan-062804 LLC			
DEFENDANT			
Laura E Catlin			
Release of	FLOW	LEVYING OFFICER FILE NO.:	37-2007-
	Levy	<del>  2008482398 -  </del>	<del>  00056138                                    </del>

7/18/2008

The levy on Any and all accounts. has been released effective.

Return property or funds you are holding to:

Laura E Catlin aka Laura E Smith aka Laura Catlin 362 Chinquapin Ave 4 Carlsbad, CA 92008

William B. Kolender, Sheriff

By: \_\_\_\_\_

# Require Proper Identification

Sheriff's Civil Division
TO PAY STORAGE THROUGH:

Washington Mutual Bank 2580 El Camino Real Carlsbad, CA 92008

EXHIBIT IF

Case 08-90580-JM Filed 01/22/09 Doc 5 Pg. 13 of 15

2008482398

EXHIBIT 5

## LEVY/GARNISHMENT INSTRUCTION SHEET

VISTA SHERIFF, 325 SO. MELROSE DR., STE. PLEASE PRINT	#2400, VISTA, CA 92083
	NOV 1 2 2008
Case Number 37-2007-00056138-CL (SUNLAN V. LAURA E	,. CATLIN/ Today's Date
YOU ARE HEREBY INSTRUCTED TO: (Place "X" in Approp	
LEVY ON BANK ACCOUNT OF JUDGMENT D  ENFORCE WRIT OF POSSESSION FOR EVIC  PLACE KEEPER IN JUDG. DEBTOR'S BUSINE  TILL TAP  VEHICLE LEVY  OTHER:	TION 2
ENTER COMPLETE INSTRUCTIONS BELOW: (Name and abusiness; license number of vehicle and address where vehicle	
LEVY ALL ACCOUNTS IN THE JUDGMENT DEBTOR'	S NAME. INCLUDING ALL SAVINGS, CHECKING,
DEPOSIT & SAFE DEPOSIT BOX ACCOUNTS HELD	AT THE FOLLOWING INSTITUTION: WASHINGTON
MUTUAL BANK,, 2580 EL CAMINO REAL, CARLSB	AD, CA 92008 (DEFENDANT'S SOCIAL
SECURITY NUMBER: 550-99-2370)	
FOR WRITS OF POSSESSION ONLY List name of Person t	o Sign for Possession:
NAMED	aytime Phone Number: (310) 289-0500
FOR BANK LEVIES ONLY: Account Number (If known)incl	uding but not limited to: NONE AVAILABLE
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THAN lesser amount here:	the amount shown on the writ, plus interest and costs, indica
\$	
72	
XX //	(310 ) 289-0500
Signature of Attorney/Litigant KENNETH J. MIELE	Daytime Phone Number
ALL COMMUNICATIONS, REFUNDS DUE, AND COLLECTION IN COLLECT	ONS WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE NAME AND ADDRESS
PMGI, LLC	NOTE: PLEASE DO NOT PHONE OUR
8581 SANTA MONICA BLVD #17	OFFICE FOR A STATUS ON YOUR CASE. WE WILL NOTIFY YOU BY
LOS ANGELES, CA 90069	MAIL ON THE OUTCOME OF THE SERVICE.

FO328-111.1(R10/88)

Case 08-90580-JM Filed 01/22/09 Doc 5 Pg. 14 of 2508 482398

### LEVY/GARNISHMENT INSTRUCTION SHEET

VISTA SHERIFF, 325 SO. MELROSE DR., STE. #2400, VISTA, CA 92083

_			` <del>-</del>	_	_		~
_	LE.	ים	~ -	-	₩,	N	
		~,					

Case Number <u>37-2007-00056138-CL-CL-NC (SU</u>	NLAN_vs Today's Date	DEC 3 1 2900						
LAURA E. CATLIN)		1~~ <sup>3</sup>						
YOU ARE HEREBY INSTRUCTED TO: (Place "X" in Appropriate Box)								
LEVY ON BANK ACCOUNT OF JUDGMENT ENFORCE WRIT OF POSSESSION FOR EV PLACE KEEPER IN JUDG. DEBTOR'S BUSI TILL TAP VEHICLE LEVY SOTHER: ************************************	/ICTION NESS (Indicate 8 hours or open end)	SAN DIEGO COUNTY						
ENTER <b>COMPLETE</b> INSTRUCTIONS BELOW: (Name an business; license number of vehicle and address where vehicles)								
RELEASE ALL ACCOUNTS IN THE JUDGMENT DE	BTOR'S NAME. INCLUDING AL	L SAVINGS,						
CHECKING, DEPOSIT & SAFE DEPOSIT BOX ACC	COUNTS HELD AT THE FOLLOW	ING INSTITUTION:						
WASHINGTON MUTUAL, 2580 EL CAMINO REAL,	CARLSBAD, CA 92008. (DEF	ENDANT'S SOCIAL						
SECURITY NUMBER: 553-63-6257)		<u> </u>						
FOR WRITS OF POSSESSION ONLY List name of Person	n to Sign for Possession:							
NAME	Daytime Phone Number: (310) 28	NAME Daytime Phone Number: (310) 289-0500						
FOR BANK LEVIES ONLY: Account Number (If known): including but not limited to: NONE AVAILABLE								
FOR BANK LEVIES ONLY: Account Number (If known)	ncluding but not limited	to: NONE AVAILABLE						
FOR BANK LEVIES <b>ONLY:</b> Account Number (If known): <u>i</u> ACCEPTING AMOUNT To instruct us to accept LESS THA lesser amount here:								
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THA lesser amount here:	N the amount shown on the writ, plus							
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THA lesser amount here:  \$ XX Signature of Attorney/Litigant		interest and costs, indicate						
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THA lesser amount here:  \$  XX  Signature of Attorney/Litigant  KENNETH J. MIECK	N the amount shown on the writ, plus  (310 ) 289-0500  Daytime Phone Num	interest and costs, indicate						
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THA lesser amount here:  \$ XX Signature of Attorney/Litigant	N the amount shown on the writ, plus  (310 ) 289-0500  Daytime Phone Num	interest and costs, indicate						
ACCEPTING AMOUNT To instruct us to accept LESS THA lesser amount here:  \$  XX  Signature of Attorney/Litigant  KENNETH I MIECE  ALL COMMUNICATIONS. REFUNDS DUE, AND COLLECT	N the amount shown on the writ, plus  (310 ) 289-0500  Daytime Phone Nun  TIONS WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE	interest and costs, indicate  ber  NAME AND ADDRESS						
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THA lesser amount here:  \$  XX  Signature of Attorney/Litigant  KENNETH I MELE  ALL COMMUNICATIONS. REFUNDS DUE, AND COLLECT  LISTED BELOW: (Complete Carefully)	(310 ) 289-0500  Daytime Phone Num  TIONS WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE  NOTE: PLEAS OFFICE FOR A	interest and costs, indicate						
ACCEPTING AMOUNT:To instruct us to accept LESS THA lesser amount here:  \$  XX  Signature of Attorney/Litigant  KENNETH I MIEUL  ALL COMMUNICATIONS, REFUNDS DUE, AND COLLECT  LISTED BELOW: (Complete Carefully)  PMGI, LLC	(310 ) 289-0500  Daytime Phone Num  TIONS WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE  NOTE: PLEAS OFFICE FOR A CASE. WE WILL	interest and costs, indicate  Tiber  E NAME AND ADDRESS  E DO NOT PHONE OUR STATUS ON YOUR						

EXHIBIT 6

Case 08-90580-JM Filed 01/22/09 Doc 5 Pg. 15 of 15

TO (Name and Address):	TELEPHONE NO.:	LEVYING OFFICER (Name and A	Address):
Washington Mutual Bank		County of San Dieg	0
2580 El Camino Real		San Diego County S	Sheriff
Carlsbad, CA 92008		Sheriff's Civil Office	
		325 South Melrose	Dr Ste 2400
		Vista, CA 92081-66	92
NAME OF COURT, JUDICIAL DISTRICT OR BRANCH COURT, IF ANY:		(760) 940-2898	
San Diego County Superior Court			
325 S Melrose Dr			
Vista, CA 92081			
Vista			
PLAINTIFF: Sunlan-062804 LLC		<b>.</b>	
DEFENDANT		1	
Laura E Catlin			
Release of	levv	LEVYING OFFICER FILE NO.:	37-2007-
1 tolouse of		2008482398	00056138

1/2/2009

The levy on Any and all accounts has been released effective 1/2/2009.

Return property or funds you are holding to:

Laura E Catlin aka Laura E Smith aka Laura Catlin 362 Chinquapin Ave 4 Carlsbad, CA 92008

William B. Kolender, Sheriff,

ву:

**Require Proper Identification** 

Sheriff's Civil Division
TO PAY STORAGE THROUGH:

Washington Mutual Bank 2580 El Camino Real Carlsbad, CA 92008

EXHBIT 7